arXiv:1704.04661v1 [math.AG] 15 Apr 2017

A bootstrap for the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$-rational points on a curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$

S. Molina[1], N. Sayols[2], and S. Xambó-Descamps[2]

[1] *Centre de Recerca Matemàtica,* santiago.molina@uni.lu
[2] *Universitat Politècnca de Catalunya,* narcissb@gmail.com & sebastia.xambo@upc.edu

Abstract

In this note we present a fast algorithm that finds for any r the number N_r of $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ rational points on a smooth absolutely irreducible curve C defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ assuming that we know $N_1, \ldots, N_g$, where g is the genus of C. The proof of its validity is given in detail and its working are illustrated with several examples. In an Appendix we list the Python function in which we have implemented the algorithm together with other routines used in the examples.

Keywords: Finite field, algebraic curves, rational points
2010 MSC: 14G15, 14H10, 14H45, 14H50, 14H52, 14D10

Glossary

In this note, *curve over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ means (unless explicitly stated otherwise) a smooth absolutely irreducible projective curve over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of cardinal q.

The *Hasse-Weil-Serre upper bound* for the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points on a curve $C/\mathbb{F}_q$ is $N_q(g) = q + 1 + g\lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor$ [5, 6, 7].

A curve $C/\mathbb{F}_q$ is said to be *maximal* if the number of its $\mathbb{F}_q$ points equals $N_q(g)$.

For historical aspects and background, we refer to the excellent surveys [10, 11], and the many references provided there. The general context provided by the Weil conjectures is outlined in [1], Appendix C.

1. Ingredients

The *zeta function* of a projective variety $X/\mathbb{F}_q$ is the power series

$$Z(T) = Z(X/\mathbb{F}_q) = \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} N_r(X)\frac{T^r}{r}\right), \tag{1}$$

where $N_r = N_r(X)$ denotes the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$-rational points of X. This function generates all the numbers N_r according to the relation

$$N_r = \tfrac{1}{(r-1)!}\tfrac{d^r}{dT^r}\log Z(T)|_{T=0}. \tag{2}$$

The needed information about $Z(T)$ is provided by the *Weil conjectures* (see [1] for the history of work on them and in particular about their proofs). For the case of curves $C/\mathbb{F}_q$, which is the one we need in this note, they were actually proved by Weil himself [13] and can be summarized as follows (see [3] for proofs in present day algebraic geometry language):

Rationality. $Z(T) = \frac{P(T)}{(1-T)(1-qT)}$, with $P(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$.

Functional equation. If g is the genus of C, $P(T) = q^g T^{2g} P(1/qT)$. In particular, $\deg(P) = 2g$.

Analogue of the Riemann hypothesis. $P(T) = \prod_{j=1}^{2g}(1-\alpha_j T)$, with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\alpha_j| = \sqrt{q}$.

2. Basic algorithm

Using (2), it is easy to conclude that

$$N_r(C) = q^r + 1 - S_r, \quad S_r = \sum_{j=1}^{2g} \alpha_j^r. \tag{3}$$

Thus we see that knowing N_r is equivalent to knowing S_r.

Now we can describe a procedure for computing the N_r for $r > 2g$ assuming that we know $N_1, \ldots, N_{2g}$. Since the *Newton sums* $S_r = \sum_{j=1}^{2g} \alpha_j^r$ are symmetric polynomias of the α_i, they are polynomial expressions in the (signed) elementary symmetric polynomials $c_1, \ldots, c_{2g}$ of $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g, \alpha_{g+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2g}$ (in other words, $c_j = (-1)^j \sigma_j$, where σ_j is the standard elementary symmetric polynomial of degree j in $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2g}$). Even though these expressions were essentially derived in the XVII century (first by Girard and later by Newton), for convenience we include their statement and a proof in the Appendix A.

For our purposes here, the net result is that we can proceed as follows:

1. For $j = 1, \ldots, 2g$, set $S_r = q^r + 1 - N_r$.
2. Use the formula (A.2) to recursively compute $c_1, \ldots, c_{2g}$:
$$c_j = -(S_j + c_1 S_{j-1} + \cdots + c_{j-1} S_1)/j.$$
3. Use the formula (A.1) to successively get $S_{2g+1}, \ldots, S_r$.
4. Set $N_i = q^i + 1 - S_i$ for $i = 2g+1, \ldots, r$.

3. An improved algorithm

Since P has real coefficients, if α_j is a root, then so is $\bar{\alpha}_j = q/\alpha_j$. The possible real roots are $\pm\sqrt{q}$, and there is an even number of them because the degree of P is even. In fact, there must be an even number of $-\sqrt{q}$ (and hence an even number of $\sqrt{q}$) as otherwise the coefficient of T^{2g} (namely q^g) would be negative. This implies that we can index the roots of P in such a way that $\alpha_{2g-j+1} = \bar{\alpha}_j = q/\alpha_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, g$. Therefore, P has the following form:

$$P(T) = c_{2g}T^{2g} + c_{2g-1}T^{2g-1} + \cdots + c_1T + c_0, \quad c_{2g} = q^g,\ c_0 = 1. \tag{4}$$

3.1 Proposition. *We have that $c_{g+l} = q^l c_{g-l}$ for $l = 1, \ldots, g$.*

Proof. Since $\alpha_j \mapsto q/\alpha_j$ exchanges $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g$ and $\alpha_{2g}, \ldots, \alpha_{g+1}$, if we set $f(T) = \prod_{j=1}^{2g}(T - \alpha_j) = c_0T^{2g} + c_1T^{2g-1} + \cdots + c_{2g-1}T + c_{2g}$, then $T^{2g}f(q/T)$ has the same roots as $f(T)$ and therefore $T^{2g}f(q/T) = c_{2g}f(T) = q^g f(T)$. Now the claim follows by equating the coefficients of T^{g+l} on both sides: on the right we get $q^g c_{g-l}$ and on the left $q^{g-l}c_{g+l}$. □

This proposition gives the boostrap at the root of our improved algorithm: after computing $c_1, \ldots, c_g$ from $N_1, \ldots, N_g$ as in the basic algorithm, we automatically get $c_{g+1}, \ldots, c_{2g}$, namely $qc_{g-1}, \ldots, q^{g-1}c_1, q^g c_0$, and so we have the following improved procedure:

1. For $j = 1, \ldots, g$, set $S_j = q^j + 1 - N_j$.
2. Use the formula (A.2) to get c_j for $j = 1, \ldots, g$:
$$c_j = -(S_j + c_1S_{j-1} + \cdots + c_{j-1}S_1)/j.$$
3. For $j = g+1, \ldots, 2g$, set $c_j = q^{j-g}c_{2g-j}$, get S_j with equation (A.2),
$$S_j = -(c_1S_{j-1} + \cdots + c_{j-1}S_1 + jc_j),$$
and set $N_j = q^j + 1 - S_j$.
4. For $j > 2g$, use the formula (A.1) to recursively compute the
$$S_j = -(c_1S_{j-1} + \cdots + c_{2g}S_{j-2g})$$
and set $N_j = q^j + 1 - S_j$.

We include the listing of our Python implementation of this procedure in Appendix B (the function `XN`).

3.2 Remark. Thus the infinite sequence $\{N_j(C)\}_{j\geq 1}$ only depends on q and the list $[N_1, \ldots, N_g]$. One interesting consequence is that given a positive integer s, the subsequence $\{N_{sj}(C)\}_{j\geq 1}$ must be the result of computing the long sequence for q^s and the list $[N_s, \ldots, N_{sg}]$.

4. Elliptic curves revisited

Over $\mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ there are 32 cubic polynomials in *normal form* (cf. [2] or [9] for notations and terminology)

$$E = y^2 + a_1xy + a_3 + x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$$

of which precisely 16 are non-singular. For these cases, $g = 1$, the HWS bound is $m = \lfloor 2\sqrt{2} \rfloor = 2$ and all the integers in the HWS interval $[1, 5]$ occur as $N_1(E)$ for some E (this can be be checked with Deuring's algorithm, which is explained, and implemented, in the subsection "The Deuring function" of Appendix B). Now a straighforward computation yields the following distribution:

N	E
1	$y^2 + y + x^3 + x + 1$, $y^2 + y + x^3 + x^2 + 1$
2	$y^2 + xy + x^3 + x^2 + 1$, $y^2 + xy + x^3 + x^2 + x$,
	$y^2 + (x+1)y + x^3 + 1$, $y^2 + (x+1)y + x^3 + x + 1$
3	$y^2 + y + x^3$, $y^2 + y + x^3 + 1$
	$y^2 + y + x^3 + x^2 + x$, $y^2 + y + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$
4	$y^2 + xy + x^3 + 1$, $y^2 + xy + x^3 + x$
	$y^2 + (x+1)y + x^3 + x^2$, $y^2 + (x+1)y + x^3 + x^2 + x$
5	$y^2 + y + x^3 + x$, $y^2 + y + x^3 + x^2$

Computing the sequences of values returned by XN with inputs $q = 2$ and $[N_1]$, for $N_1 = 1, \ldots, 5$, and $k = 20$ we get the following data (the top row is the maximum value $N_q(1)$ of $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ supplied by "Serre's procedure", as described in Appendix B):

S	5	9	14	25	44	81	150	289	558	1089
N	1	5	13	25	41	65	113	225	481	1025
	2	8	14	16	22	56	142	288	518	968
	3	9	9	9	33	81	129	225	513	1089
	4	8	4	16	44	56	116	288	508	968
	5	5	5	25	25	65	145	225	545	1025

S	2139	4225	8374	16641	33131	66049	131797	263169	525737	1050625
N	2113	4225	8321	16385	32513	65025	130561	262145	525313	1050625
	1982	4144	8374	16472	32494	65088	131174	263144	525086	1047376
	2049	3969	8193	16641	32769	65025	131073	263169	524289	1046529
	2116	4144	8012	16472	33044	65088	130972	263144	523492	1047376
	1985	4225	8065	16385	33025	65025	131585	262145	523265	1050625

The red entries are maximal values. The blue values of row S indicate that no elliptic curve of the five defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$ achieves them, and in this case the yellow entries indicate the curve (or two curves in two cases) that yield the highest value. Here we remark that the tables agree with the conclusions in Table 1 of [14] (page 305), except for the $k = 8$, which is classified there as maximal (provided by E_4), but in the date above we see that $S = 289$ and that the maximum achieved by our five elliptic curves is 288 (two of them, E_2 and E_4). This means that there is an elliptic curve *defined over* $\mathbb{F}_{2^8}$ that has 289 rational points, one more than the maximum of the number of $\mathbb{F}_{2^8}$-rational points for our five curves. In fact, since in the first six columns the maximum is achieved, Remark 3.2 tells us that that curve cannot be defined over $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ for $k = 2, 4$.

4.1 Remark. Of course, the algorithm expects that N_1 is known, for a given q. A different question is finding the N_1 points explicitly, which is fundamental in applications such as in coding theory. For small q, this can often be computed in a straightforward manner, but otherwise the problem of finding fast algorithms is quite subtle and appears to be quite involved (cf. Schoof's [4]).

5. On the Klein quartic

The *Klein quartic* $C/\mathbb{F}_2$ is given by the homogeneous equation

$$F(x, y, z) = x^3y + y^3z + z^3x. \tag{5}$$

It is is non-singular, absolutely irreducible and has genus 3. Let us compute N_1, N_2, N_3. First notice that the three points (in homogeneous coordinates) $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$ are the only ones that satisfy $xyz = 0$. In particular, there are two points at infinity. If $xyz \neq 0$, then we can look at the affine curve $C_z = x^3y + y^3 + x$. Over $\mathbb{F}_2$ it is clear that there are no more points, hence $N_1 = 3$. Over $\mathbb{F}_4$, there are two more points: $(\alpha, \alpha^2, 1)$ and $(\alpha^2, \alpha, 1)$, where $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$, and so $N_2 = 5$. To get N_3, let $\mathbb{F}_8$ be generated by β with $\beta^3 = \beta + 1$. Since $y^3 = y^{10}$, on dividing C_z by y^3 we get $(x/y^3)^3 + 1 + x/y^3 = 0$. Since $\xi^3 + \xi + 1 = 0$ has three solutions in $\mathbb{F}_8$ $(\beta, \beta^2, \beta^4)$, we conclude that C_z has $7 \times 3 = 21$ poins other than $(0, 0)$ that are $\mathbb{F}_8$-rational and therefore $N_3 = 24$. With this, the values for N_k supplied by XN (for $k \leq 12$) are the following:

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
N_k	3	5	24	17	33	38	129	257	528	1025	2049	4238

Over $\mathbb{F}_5$, one finds that $N_1 = 6$, $N_2 = 26$ and $N_3 = 126$. With this, we can find a similar table (for $k = 1, \ldots, 9$):

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
N_k	6	26	126	626	3126	16376	78126	390626	1953126

Appendix A. Newton sums

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be variables. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let

$$c_j(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) = (-1)^j \sigma_j(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n),$$

where σ_j is the degree j symmetric polynomial in $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. Finally, let $S_j = \alpha_1^j + \cdots + \alpha_n^j$ for all $j \geq 0$, with the convention $S_0 = n$.

Appendix A.1 Proposition (Girard-Newton identities)**.** (1) *If $j \geq n$, then*

$$S_j + c_1 S_{j-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1} S_{j-(n-1)} + c_n S_{j-n} = 0. \tag{A.1}$$

(2) *If $1 \leq j \leq n$, then*

$$S_j + c_1 S_{j-1} + \cdots + c_{j-1} S_1 + j c_j = 0. \tag{A.2}$$

Proof. (1) Let X be a new variable. From the definitions it follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k X^{n-k} = (X - \alpha_1) \cdots (X - \alpha_n).$$

Therefore $\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k \alpha_i^{n-k} = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (Vieta's formulas). If we multiply this relation by α_i^{j-n} ($j \geq n$) and sum for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we get $\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k S_{j-k} = 0$, which is the stated equation.

(2) We will proceed by induction on n. For $n = 1$, the statement, namely $S_1 + c_1 = 0$, is tautologically true. Assume now that the statement is true for $n - 1$:

$$S'_j + c'_1 S'_{j-1} + \cdots + c'_{j-1} S'_1 + j c'_j = 0$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, where the S'_j and c'_j have the same meaning as S_j and c_j, but with respect to the variables $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$. The key observation is that S'_j and c'_j coincide with the result of setting $\alpha_n = 0$ in S_j and c_j. This implies that the polynomials $S_j + c_1 S_{j-1} + \cdots + c_{j-1} S_1 + j c_j$ (for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$) are divisible by α_n. Since they are symmetric in $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$, they are divisible by $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n$. Therefore they vanish, as their degrees are $< n$. Finally note that the equality for $j = n$ has been established in (1). □

Appendix B. Python code

The listings in this appendix are available for downloading at Listings-MSX.

The function XN. This function implements our main algorithm (Section 3) Besides the standard Python facilities, only a working implementation of the rational numbers (here denoted Q) is needed.

```
def XN(q,X,k):
    g = len(X)  # the genus of the curve
    if k<=g: return X[:k]   # only k>g gives something new
    X = [0]+X   # trick so that X[j] refers to F_{q^j}
    X = [x>>Q for x in X]  # consider X as a list of Q
    S = [q**(j)+1-X[j] for j in range(1,g+1)] # First g Newton sums
    S = [0]+S   # similar trick as for X
    # Computation of c_1,...,c_g; set c0=1
    c = [1>>Q] # to compute in the rational field
    for j in range(1,g+1):
        cj = S[j]
        for i in range(1,j):
            cj += c[i]*S[j-i]
        c += [-cj/j]
    # Add c_{g+i}, for i=1,...,g
    for i in range(1,g+1):
        c += [q**i*c[g-i]]
    # Find Sj for j = g+1,...,k
    for j in range(g+1,k+1):
        if j>2*g:
            Sj=0
        else:
            Sj = j*c[j]
        for i in range(1,j):
            if i>2*g: break
            Sj += c[i]*S[j-i]
        S += [-Sj]
    # Find X[i] for i = g+1,...,k
    for i in range(g+1,k+1):
        X += [q**i+1-S[i]]
    return X[1:]
```

The Deuring function. This function implements Deuring's algorithm to list the possible cardinals $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of the elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{F}_q$. Our main reference here has been [12]. We have split the computation in two parts: the function Deuring_offsets(q) (which computes the list of integers t in the segment $[-m, m]$, $m = \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor$, such that $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - t$ for some E), and Deuring_set(q), that outputs the list in question.

```
def deuring_offsets(q):
    P = prime_factors(q)  # prime_factors(12) => [2, 2, 3]
    p = P[0]; n = len(P)
    m = int(2*sqrt(q))
    D = [t for t in range(-m,m+1) if gcd(p,t)==1]
    if n%2==0:
        r = p**(n//2)
        D += [-2*r,2*r]
        if p%3 != 1:
            D += [-r,r]
    if n%2 and (p==2 or p==3):
        r = p**((n+1)//2)
        D += [-r,r]
    if n%2 or (n%2==0 and p%4!=1):
        D += [0]
    return sorted([t for t in D])
#
def Deuring_set(q):
    D =deuring_offsets(q)
    return [t+q+1 for t in D]
```

Examples: Here are the Deuring lists for the first 8 prime powers q

```
q=2  (m=2): [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
q=3  (m=3): [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]
q=4  (m=4): [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]
q=5  (m=4): [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]
q=7  (m=5): [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]
q=8  (m=5): [4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14]
q=9  (m=6): [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]
q=11 (m=6): [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]
```

The Serre procedure for the function $N_q(g)$, $g = 1, 2, 3$. For the function Serre we have followed [5].

```
def Serre(q, g=1):
    D = ifactor(q)          # ifactor(12) =>  {2: 2, 3: 1}
    if len(D)>1:
return 'Serre: {} is not a prime power'.format(q)
    p = list(D)[0]
    e = D[p]                # q = p^e
    m = int(2*sqrt(q))      # g·m is the HWS bound
    if g==1:
        if e%2 and e>=3 and m%p==0: return q+m
```

```
    else: return q+m+1
if g==2:
    if q==4: return 10
    if q==9: return 20
    if e%2==0: return q+1+2*m
    def special(s):
        if m%p==0 or is_square(s-1) or
                     is_square(4*s-3) or
                     is_square(4*s-7):
            return True
        else: return False
    if special(q):
        if 2*sqrt(q)-m > (sqrt(5)-1)/2: return q+2*m
        else: return q+2*m-1
    return q+1+2*m
if g==3:
    P = [2,3,4,5,7,8,9]
    T={2:7,3:10,4:14,5:16,7:20,8:24,9:28}
    if P.count(q): return T[q]
return "Serre> I do not know the value of N({},{})".format(q,g)
```

References

[1] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, 1983 (corrected 3rd printing), 1977 (first edition).

[2] D. Husemöller. *Elliptic curves*, volume 111 of *GRM*. Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[3] S. Raskin. The Weil conjectures for curves, 2007. Notes based on 2007 lectures by Sasha Beilinson at the University of Chicago.

[4] R. Schoof. Counting points on elliptic curves over finite fields. *Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux*, 7(1):219–254, 1995.

[5] J.-P. Serre. Nombre de points des courbes algébriques sur $\mathbb{F}_q$. *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 1982/83*, 22, 1983. Included in [8], number **129**, 664-668.

[6] J.-P. Serre. Sur le nombre de points rationels d'une courbe algébrique sur un corps fini. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris,* série I, 296:397–402, 1983. Included in [8], number **128**, 658-663.

[7] J.-P. Serre. Résumé des cours de 1983-1984. *Annuaire du Collège de France*, 79-83, 1984. Included in [8], number **132**, 701-705.

[8] J. P. Serre. *Oeuvres, III (1972-1984)*. Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[9] J. H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *GTM*. Springer, 2009 (2nd edition; 1st edition in 1986; printing with corrections 2016).

[10] F. Torres. Algebraic curves with many points over finite fields. *Advances in algebraic geometry codes*, 5:221, 2008 (revised version 2014).

[11] G. van der Geer. Counting curves over finite fields. *Finite fields and their applications*, 32:207–232, 2015.

[12] W. C. Waterhouse. Abelian varieties over finite fields. In *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, volume 2, pages 521–560, 1969.

[13] A. Weil. *Sur les courbes algébriques et les variétés qui s'en deduisent*. Hermann, Paris, 1948.

[14] T. Yaghoobian and I. F. Blake. On Reed-Solomon and algebraic geometry codes. In S. B. Wicker and V. K. Bhargava, editors, *Reed-Solomon codes and their applications*, pages 292–314. IEEE Press, 1994.